

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,465		02/06/2002	Carl R. Strathmeyer	042390p8943X4	. 1829
26529	7590	10/24/2005		EXAM	INER
		COLOFF TAYLOR BOULEVARD	LY, ANH VU H		
SEVENT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANG	ELES,	CA 90025		2667	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/072,465	STRATHMEYER ET	TAL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2667						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of								
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		126(a) and the engrapsic	to outonoion foo					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee · ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS	hard a factor than the affiliance halaf							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-15 and 24-34</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North day.	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application it	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•					
13.								
	•							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues such as "transmitting a notification request of telephony events occuring at the receiving endpoint from the application computer to the gatekeeper and transmitting a notification that the incoming call is being received by the receiving endpoint from the gatekeeper to the application computer" can be found in lines 6-9 of independent claims 7, 24, and 26.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATE CHNOLOGY CENTER 200 / 18/21/05